

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of
MATTHEW DICKER, M.D.
Holder of License No. 33711
For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine
In the State of Arizona.

Case No. MD-14-1299A
ORDER FOR LETTER
OF REPRIMAND; AND
CONSENT TO THE SAME

Matthew Dicker, M.D. ("Respondent"), elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal with respect to this Order for a Letter of Reprimand; admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board"); and consents to the entry of this Order by the Board.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
2. Respondent is the holder of license number 33711 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
3. The Board initiated case number MD-14-1299A after receiving notification of malpractice settlement regarding Dr. Dicker's care and treatment of a 73 year-old male patient ("EE") alleging failure to perform urgent vascular intervention resulting in sepsis and death.
4. On July 12, 2012, EE presented to the emergency department ("ED") at the hospital where Respondent holds privileges with left lower leg pain described as constant and worsening and/or exacerbated by movement, along with 2 days of left lower back pain radiating into buttocks and back of leg. EE's history included degenerative disc disease, lower back pain for more than 5 years, chronic pain syndrome on Percocet, peripheral neuropathy on Neurontin, and obstructive sleep apnea. EE reported during this

1 admission that he had a history of peripheral vascular disease, a previous right leg
2 amputation below the knee, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and coronary artery disease,
3 and a previous coronary artery bypass. An arterial ultrasound was completed with
4 findings of occlusion of the posterior tibial-peroneal trunk, patent anterior tibial artery.
5 Respondent provided a telephone consult and recommended follow-up in the Radiology
6 clinic within 1-2 days. EE was discharged home with a diagnosis of low back pain with
7 exacerbation.

8 5. Respondent saw EE on July 13, 2012. Respondent diagnosed EE with
9 progressive non-acute peripheral vascular disease ("PVD") with worsening left leg rest
10 pain and ordered an angiogram with intervention. Respondent documented that EE's
11 pulses were absent on Doppler with three seconds capillary refill.

12 6. On July 19, 2012, EE returned to the ED with lower leg pain exacerbated by
13 movement. EE's physical exam noted that the left lower extremity was cool, with a +1
14 dorsalis pedal pulse with a good capillary refill, and the foot was pink and warm. The ED
15 provider diagnosed EE with peripheral vascular complications without neurovascular
16 compromise with good pulses; he ordered a CT angiogram and placed a call to
17 Respondent who examined the patient. Respondent's performed an examination that
18 was not documented other than that he examined the patient, found that he did not need
19 acute intervention and recommended outpatient work-up. Respondent confirmed that the
20 outpatient angiogram was scheduled for July 26, 2012, and re-scheduled it a day later so
21 he could personally perform it on July 27, 2012.

22 7. On July 25, 2012, EE returned to the ED with acute left lower extremity
23 ischemia with a cold, blue, and painful extremity with no pulses. EE was transferred to a
24 Heart Hospital and died on August 4, 2012 with a cause of death listed as shock secondary
25 to severe leg ischemia.

8. The standard of care required Respondent to perform a semi-urgent angiogram in a patient such as EE. Respondent deviated from this standard of care by failing to perform a timely semi-urgent angiogram on a patient with progressive symptoms of that could represent vascular compromise.

9. The standard of care required Respondent to document his vascular examination. Respondent deviated from this standard of care by conducting a vascular examination without documentation of his findings on July 19, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

a. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.

b. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e) (“Failing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient.”).

c. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) (“Any conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.”).

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 5th day of August, 2016.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By Patricia E. McSorley
Patricia E. McSorley
Executive Director

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

1
2 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the
3 stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Order"). Respondent
4 acknowledges he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter.

5 2. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely
6 and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.

7 3. By consenting to this Order, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights
8 to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court on the matters alleged, or to
9 challenge this Order in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of
10 action related thereto or arising from said Order.

11 4. The Order is not effective until approved by the Board and signed by its
12 Executive Director.

13 5. All admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of this
14 matter and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving
15 the Board and Respondent. Therefore, said admissions by Respondent are not intended or
16 made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government
17 regulatory agency proceeding, civil or criminal court proceeding, in the State of Arizona
18 or any other state or federal court.

19 6. Upon signing this agreement, and returning this document (or a copy
20 thereof) to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke the consent to the
21 entry of the Order. Respondent may not make any modifications to the document. Any
22 modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved
23 by the parties.

24 7. This Order is a public record that will be publicly disseminated as a formal
25 disciplinary action of the Board and will be reported to the National Practitioner's Data
26 Bank and on the Board's web site as a disciplinary action.

8. If the Board does not adopt this Order, Respondent will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of the Order constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defense.

9. Respondent has read and understands the terms of this agreement.

Matthew Dicker
MATTHEW DICKER, M.D.

DATED: 7.27.16

EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed
this 5th day of August, 2016 to:

Matthew Dicker, M.D.
Address of Record

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 5th
day of August, 2016 with:

Arizona Medical Board
9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Board Staff